

# Effects of ultraviolet radiation on plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentrations in corn snakes (*Elaphe guttata*)

Mark J. Acierno, MBA, DVM; Mark A. Mitchell, DVM, PhD; Trevor T. Zachariah, DVM; Marlana K. Roundtree; Megan S. Kirchgessner, DVM; David Sanchez-Migallon Guzman, Lic en Vit

---

**Objective**—To determine whether corn snakes exposed to UVB radiation have increased plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentrations, compared with control snakes.

**Animals**—12 corn snakes (*Elaphe guttata*).

**Procedures**—After an acclimation period in individual enclosures, a blood sample was collected from each snake for assessment of plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration. Six snakes were provided with no supplemental lighting, and 6 snakes were exposed to light from 2 full-spectrum coil bulbs. By use of a radiometer-photometer, the UVA and UVB radiation generated by the bulbs were measured in each light-treated enclosure at 3 positions at the basking surface and at 2.54 cm (1 inch) below each bulb surface; the arithmetic mean values for the 3 positions at the basking surface and each individual bulb surface were calculated immediately after the start of the study and at weekly intervals thereafter. At the end of the study (day 28), another blood sample was collected from each snake to determine plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration.

**Results**—Mean  $\pm$  SD plasma concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> in snakes that were provided with supplemental lighting ( $196 \pm 16.73$  nmol/L) differed significantly from the value in control snakes ( $57.17 \pm 15.28$  nmol/L). Mean exposure to UVA or UVB did not alter during the 4-week study period, although the amount of UVA recorded near the bulb surfaces did change significantly.

**Clinical Relevance**—These findings have provided important insight into the appropriate UV radiation requirements for corn snakes. Further investigation will be needed before exact husbandry requirements can be determined. (*Am J Vet Res* 2008;69:294–297)

---

Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is an important hormone that has numerous physiologic properties.<sup>1,2</sup> Its most widely recognized function is the regulation of calcium metabolism, which is needed for the development and maintenance of healthy bones; however, the reproductive success of panther chameleons has also been associated with optimized serum vitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentrations.<sup>3,4</sup> This hormone can be synthesized through the exposure of the skin to UVB (290 to 320 nm) radiation or via consumption of animals that have previously made this biochemical conversion.<sup>5,6</sup> Among vertebrate species, there is wide variation between the need for dietary intake of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> and the ability to synthesize the hormone.<sup>7,8</sup>

To date, most studies to examine the source and function of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> have involved mammals and birds.<sup>9</sup> Historically, studies<sup>4,8,10,11</sup> performed in reptiles have focused on dietary and basking requirements in

various lizard species. Recently, an investigation<sup>12</sup> of the effects of UVB radiation in red-eared slider turtles (*Trachemys scripta elegans*) revealed that plasma concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> increases significantly after exposure to UVB radiation. The authors are not aware of studies to investigate whether snakes synthesize vitamin D<sub>3</sub> via basking or rely on consumption of it in their diet. This is unfortunate because many of these species are raised in captivity as pets; given that these reptiles have the potential to be long-lived, it is important that the specific husbandry requirements for these animals are elucidated.

The purpose of the study reported here was to determine whether corn snakes (*Elaphe guttata*) exposed to UVB radiation have increased plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentrations, compared with control snakes that were not exposed to UVB radiation. The specific hypotheses were that corn snakes exposed to UVB radiation have higher plasma concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>, compared with control snakes, and that the amount of UVB radiation emitted by commercially available fluorescent coil bulbs decreases over time.

## Materials and Methods

The project was performed in accordance with the regulations established by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Louisiana State University.

---

Received May 23, 2007.

Accepted July 23, 2007.

From the Department of Veterinary Clinical Science, School of Veterinary Medicine, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70810 (Acierno, Zachariah, Roundtree, Kirchgessner, Sanchez-Migallon Guzman); and the Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL 61802 (Mitchell).

Supported by Fluker Farms, Port Allen, La.

Address correspondence to Dr. Acierno.

**Snakes**—Twelve adult male corn snakes were used in the study. The snakes were obtained from a commercial herpetoculturist. The snakes, which were housed individually in 47.3-L plastic containers<sup>a</sup> (26.7 × 15.8 cm × 10.8 cm), were acclimated to the laboratory for 7 days prior to the start of the study. The environmental temperature was maintained at 30.0° to 31.1°C (86° to 88°F). The snakes were not fed during the study period.

**Procedures**—After the initial 7-day acclimation period, a blood sample (0.5 mL) was collected from ventral tail vein or heart of each snake. This time point was designated as day 0. Blood samples were collected into tubes containing lithium heparin.<sup>b</sup> The samples were centrifuged within 60 minutes of collection. Plasma samples were placed on frozen gel packs and submitted to a veterinary diagnostic laboratory<sup>c</sup> for measurement of plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration by use of a radioimmunoassay.

After the blood collection, snakes were allocated to 1 of 2 groups by use of random number generator. During the 4-week study period, corn snakes allocated to group 1 (n = 6) were not provided supplemental lighting, whereas those in group 2 (6) were provided supplemental lighting.<sup>d</sup> This lighting was generated from 2 bulbs placed 25.4 cm (10 inches) apart at a distance of 15.8 cm (6.2 inches) above the basking area. Light was provided for 12 continuous hours each day.

For group 2, UVA and UVB radiations generated by the coil bulbs were measured by use of a radiometer-photometer.<sup>e</sup> Levels of UVA and UVB radiation were measured on days 0, 7, 14, and 21. With the exception of day 0, when the reading was recorded immediately after the lights were activated, the measurements were made at the same time of the day in each successive week. Measurements were made from 5 points in each enclosure: at 2.54 cm (1 inch) from each bulb surface, at 15.8 cm (6.2 inches) directly beneath each bulb at the basking surface, and at the basking surface at a location below the midpoint between the 2 bulbs. The UVA and UVB radiation levels were measured in triplicate at each location by the same author (MKR). The arithmetic mean value of the 9 measurements obtained at the basking surface was used to determine the mean UV exposure in each enclosure. The arithmetic mean value of the 3 measurements obtained at each individual bulb surface was used for the statistical analysis.

The snakes were also weighed at the start and end of the study. Weight measurements were rounded to the nearest 0.1 g.

At the end of the 4-week study (day 28), another blood sample was collected for measurement of plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Sample collection, processing, and analysis were similar to the techniques used for the samples collected at the start of the study.

**Statistical analysis**—The data were evaluated by use of a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and were normally distributed. The mean, SD, and range (minimum and maximum) are reported. A paired sample *t* test was used to determine whether plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration and body weight changed in indi-

vidual snakes during the study period. An unpaired *t* test was used to compare the mean differences for 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration and weight between treatment groups. A repeated-measures ANOVA was used to assess the quantity of UVA and UVB radiation generated at the bulb surfaces and the mean amount of radiation reaching the basking surface over the 4-week study. Commercially available software<sup>f</sup> was used for all analyses, and a value of *P* ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

## Results

Between the start and end of the study period, the plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration in group 2 increased significantly (63.0 ± 36.96 nmol/L and 196 ± 16.73 nmol/L, respectively; *P* = 0.003; **Table 1**). Group 1 snakes had no significant (*P* = 0.987) increase in 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration. At the end of the study (day 28), plasma concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> in groups 1 and 2 differed significantly (*P* < 0.001).

Body weight in groups 1 and 2 did not differ significantly at the start (*P* = 0.991) or end of the study (*P* = 0.944). Therefore, weights were pooled and evaluated over time. At day 0, mean ± SD weight was 340.8 ± 19.90 g; at day 28, mean weight was 323.3 ± 18.68 g. This reduction in body weight was not significant (*P* = 0.528).

Although the amount of UVB at the bulb surface appeared to decrease over the course of the study, this

Table 1—Mean ± SD (range) plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration in corn snakes that did not (group 1; n = 6) or did (group 2; 6) receive UV radiation via supplemental lighting at the start (day 0) and end (day 28) of a 4-week period.

Time point	Group	25-hydroxyvitamin D <sub>3</sub> (nmol/L)
Day 0	1	57.33 ± 45.59 (0–132)
	2	63.0 ± 36.96 (0–108)
Day 28	1	57.17 ± 15.28 (21–128)
	2	196.0 ± 16.73 <sup>a</sup> (121–232)

<sup>a</sup>Value significantly (*P* < 0.001) different from value for group 1 at this time point.

Table 2—Amounts of UVB radiation (mean ± SD [range]) provided by 2 coil fluorescent bulbs in each of 6 enclosures housing a corn snake as measured on days 0, 7, 14, and 21. Assessments were made near the bulb surface\* and at the basking surface.†

Location	Day	UVB‡ (μW/cm <sup>2</sup> )
Bulb	0	199.9 ± 41.73 (133.6–266.0)
	7	267.1 ± 169.3 (152.0–790.0)
	14	164.4 ± 48.47 (92.0–248.0)
	21	213.1 ± 58.93 (141.7–356.3)
Basking surface	0	10.19 ± 1.81 (8.03–12.87)
	7	9.66 ± 2.09 (7.67–12.43)
	14	9.72 ± 1.54 (7.833–12.43)
	21	10.37 ± 2.22 (8.467–14.17)

\*Measured in triplicate at 2.54 cm (1 inch) from each bulb surface. †Measured 15.8 cm (6.2 inches) directly beneath each bulb at the basking surface and at the basking surface below the midpoint between the 2 bulbs; arithmetic mean value was calculated for each enclosure. ‡Data were obtained immediately after lights were activated on day 0 and 4 hours after activation at other time points (12 hours of continuous lighting/d).

Table 3—Amounts of UVA radiation (mean  $\pm$  SD [range]) provided by 2 coil fluorescent bulbs in each of 6 enclosures housing a corn snake as measured on days 0, 7, 14, and 21. Assessments were made near the bulb surface\* and at the basking surface.†

Location	Day	UVA† ( $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ )
Bulb <sup>§</sup>	0	1,274.0 $\pm$ 154.7 (1,003.0–1,497.0)
	7	1,382 $\pm$ 103.1 (1,257.0–1,581.0)
	14	1,032 $\pm$ 353.6 (336.0–1,356.0)
	21	1,239 $\pm$ 128.2 (903.3–1,381.0)
Basking surface	0	55.20 $\pm$ 3.59 (51.30–61.73)
	7	56.05 $\pm$ 9.38 (44.77–68.53)
	14	57.84 $\pm$ 8.40 (48.20–68.07)
	21	57.02 $\pm$ 6.98 (50.00–66.17)

§The amount of UVA measured at the bulb surface was significantly ( $P = 0.006$ ) different throughout the study. See Table 2 for remainder of key.

reduction was not significant ( $P = 0.06$ ; Table 2). There was a significant ( $P = 0.006$ ) difference in the amount of UVA measured at the bulb surface throughout the study (Table 3). The amounts of UVA and UVB radiation reaching the basking surface were measured in triplicate directly under each of the 2 bulbs and also at the location below the midpoint between the 2 bulbs. These 9 values were averaged to calculate the mean UVA and UVB exposure for each snake. There was no change ( $P = 0.240$ ) in UVB exposure during the course of the study. The mean UVA exposure did not differ ( $P = 0.686$ ) throughout the study.

## Discussion

The synthesis of vitamin D is the result of the photosynthetic conversion of 7-dehydrocholesterol to previtamin D<sub>3</sub> in the skin of vertebrates after exposure to UVB.<sup>1,13</sup> Previtamin D<sub>3</sub> is an unstable molecule that undergoes temperature-dependent isomerization to vitamin D<sub>3</sub>.<sup>13</sup> The newly formed vitamin is transported to the liver where it is hydroxylated to 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>.<sup>3,11</sup> This represents the storage form of the hormone, which is bound to protein and circulated systemically.<sup>11</sup> The kidneys are responsible for the final conversion of 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>, which is the active form of the hormone.<sup>1,3,11</sup>

Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> can be obtained directly through the exposure of the skin to UVB radiation (wavelength, 290 to 320 nm) or via consumption of prey that has already performed the biosynthesis. The need for appropriate plasma concentrations of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is so important that the skin of some nocturnal species of lizards, such as the Mediterranean house gecko (*Hemidactylus turcicus*), has developed the ability to synthesize the hormone under minimal light conditions, whereas other species modify their basking behaviors to compensate for variations in dietary amounts of vitamin D<sub>3</sub>.<sup>14,15</sup> Not all animals have the same capacity to biosynthesize this hormone or extract it from their diet. Some carnivorous mammals, such as cats, are unable to biosynthesize any vitamin D and must rely totally on dietary intake<sup>7</sup>; by contrast, some lizards are reliant primarily on biosynthesis of the hormone.<sup>16</sup> Because snakes are carnivores, the general assumption among herpetoculturists and veterinarians was that these animals also derived vitamin D from their diet and that UVB radiation–induced

synthesis was likely of no importance. The findings of the present study suggest otherwise.

In the present study, plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration significantly increased in snakes that were exposed to supplemental lighting during a 4-week period, whereas control snakes that were not exposed failed to develop any change in plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> concentration. There was no significant increase in the weight of snakes exposed to UV radiation or the weight of snakes in the control group.

The coil fluorescent bulbs selected for use in our study were recently included in the selection of reptile full-spectrum lights available through the pet trade. Historically, fluorescent tubes have been the most popular lights for reptile husbandry.<sup>17,18</sup> Results of previous research<sup>18</sup> indicated that these bulbs provide adequate UVB radiation in the 290- to 320-nm wavelength range. In the experience of one of our group, the coil bulbs that we used provide a greater quantity of UVB radiation at the bulb surface and at distances of 6 and 12 inches from the surface than that provided by the more commonly used types of full-spectrum fluorescent tubes. It was for this reason that these bulbs were selected.

The UVB radiation generated by the bulbs used in our study did not decrease over time. This is most likely because of the short duration of the study. There was a difference in the amount of UVA radiation released, although most of the difference was attributable to readings obtained from 2 bulbs during the third week of the study. It is possible that the measurements at those bulb surfaces were measured incorrectly. However, the same author (MKR) measured the UVA and UVB radiation levels throughout the study. This was done to minimize the likelihood of error. Interestingly, the amount of UVA radiation at the basking surface did not decrease during the study period.

Corn snakes have the potential for living for long periods in captivity and are popular as pets. It is therefore important to consider the effect of UV radiation on a snake's human caregivers. As newer, more powerful bulbs are developed, they may begin to pose health risks. To the authors' knowledge, this risk has yet to be evaluated.

The findings of the present study have provided important new information regarding the biosynthesis of vitamin D in corn snakes. For appropriate husbandry recommendations to be developed, further studies are required to determine the health and developmental consequences of provision or withholding of supplemental UVB radiation (wavelength, 290 to 320 nm) to these animals.

- a. Rubbermaid Home Products, Fairlawn, Ohio.
- b. Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ.
- c. Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health, East Lansing, Mich.
- d. Sun-glow coil bulbs, Fluker Farms, Port Allen, La.
- e. Model #1400, International Light Inc, Newburyport, Mass.
- f. Prism, version 4.0 for Macintosh, GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, Calif.

## References

1. Ganong W. Hormonal control of calcium metabolism and the physiology of bone. In: *Review of medical physiology*. 20th ed. New York: Lang Medical Books/McGraw-Hill Medical Publishing Division, 2001;369–382.
2. Boyer T. Metabolic bone disease. In: Mader D, ed. *Reptile medi-*

- cine and surgery*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co, 1996;385–392.
3. Ferguson G, Gehrman W, Chen T, et al. Effects of artificial ultraviolet light exposure on reproductive success of female panther chameleon (*Furcifer pardalis*) in captivity. *Zoo Biol* 2002;21:525–537.
  4. Ferguson G, Jones J, Gehrman W, et al. Indoor husbandry of the panther chameleon *Chamaeleo (Furcifer) pardalis*: effect of dietary vitamins A and D and ultraviolet irradiation on pathology and life-history traits. *Zoo Biol* 1996;15:279–299.
  5. Webb AR, Holick MF. The role of sunlight in the cutaneous production of vitamin D<sub>3</sub>. *Annu Rev Nutr* 1988;8:375–399.
  6. Webb AR, Kline L, Holick MF. Influence of season and latitude on the cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D<sub>3</sub>: exposure to winter sunlight in Boston and Edmonton will not promote vitamin D<sub>3</sub> synthesis in human skin. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1988;67:373–378.
  7. How KL, Hazewinkel HA, Mol JA. Dietary vitamin D dependence of cat and dog due to inadequate cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 1994;96:12–18.
  8. Laing CJ, Trube A, Shea GM, et al. The requirement for natural sunlight to prevent vitamin D deficiency in iguanian lizards. *J Zoo Wildl Med* 2001;32:342–348.
  9. Fraser DR. Vitamin D. *Lancet* 1995;345:104–107.
  10. Jones J, Ferguson G, Gehrman W, et al. Vitamin D nutritional status influences voluntary behavioral photoregulation in a lizard. In: Holick MF, Jung EG, eds. *Biologic effects of light*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co, 1995;49–55.
  11. Laing CJ, Fraser DR. The vitamin D system in iguanian lizards. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 1999;373–379.
  12. Acierno MJ, Mitchell MA, Roundtree MK, et al. Effects of ultraviolet radiation on 25-hydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> synthesis in red-eared slider turtles (*Trachemys scripta elegans*). *Am J Vet Res* 2006;67:2046–2049.
  13. Holick MF, Tian XQ, Allen M. Evolutionary importance for the membrane enhancement of the production of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> in the skin of poikilothermic animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1995;92:3124–3126.
  14. Ferguson GW, Gehrman WH, Karsten KB, et al. Do panther chameleons bask to regulate endogenous vitamin D<sub>3</sub> production? *Physiol Biochem Zool* 2003;76:52–59.
  15. Carman E, Ferguson GW, Gehrman WH, et al. Photobio-synthetic opportunity and ability for UVB generated vitamin D synthesis in free-living house geckos (*Hemidactylus turcicus*) and Texas Spiny Lizards (*Sceloporus olivaceous*). *Copeia* 2000;1:245–250.
  16. Allen M, Chen TC, Holick MF, et al. Evaluation of vitamin D status in the green iguana (*Iguana iguana*): oral administration vs UVB exposure. In: *Biologic effects of light*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter & Co, 1998;99–101.
  17. Gehrman WH. Ultraviolet irradiances of various lamps used in animal husbandry. *Zoo Biol* 1987;6:117–127.
  18. Gehrman WH. Artificial lighting. In: Mader D, ed. *Reptile medicine and surgery*. St Louis: Saunders, 2006;1081–1084.